

**College of Human Ecology  
Master's Thesis Research Award Rubric**

**Name of Student:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name of Thesis Adviser:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Department/School:** \_\_\_\_\_

	<b>Exceptional 5</b>	<b>Good 4</b>	<b>Average 3</b>	<b>Needs Improvement 2</b>	<b>Poor 1</b>
Cover Page	Cover page is complete.				Cover page is omitted or lacking important information.
Project Description	Project description, including the methodology, is clear, concise, and easy to understand.		Project description, including the methodology, is adequate though the need for greater clarity is apparent.		It is unclear what is being proposed.
Importance to the Field	Importance to the field is clearly described.		A link is made between the proposed project and the broader field. The impact is modest.		It is not clear how the proposed project will further the field.

Budget	Budget is comprehensive, clearly explained, and appropriate for the activities proposed. All costs are justified and describe how funds will improve thesis.		Budget and justification are adequate though the need for greater clarity is apparent.		Budget and justification are either omitted or vaguely described.
CV	CV demonstrates outstanding potential				CV is omitted or does not support applicant's potential
Letter of Recommendation	Letter of recommendation is exceptional				Letter of recommendation is poor

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Overall, would you recommend this proposal for funding?** \_\_\_\_\_Yes \_\_\_\_\_No

**Comments:** \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_