

**College of Human Ecology
Doctoral Dissertation Research Award Rubric**

Name of Student: _____

Name of Dissertation Adviser: _____

Department/School: _____

Has the student passed candidacy? ___Yes ___No **Has the student defended his/her dissertation proposal?** ___Yes ___No

	Exceptional 5	Good 4	Average 3	Needs Improvement 2	Poor 1
Cover Page	Cover page is complete.				Cover page is omitted or lacking important information.
Project Description	Project description, including the methodology, is clear, concise, and easy to understand.		Project description, including the methodology, is adequate though the need for greater clarity is apparent.		It is unclear what is being proposed.
Importance to the Field	Importance to the field is clearly described.		A link is made between the proposed project and the broader field. The impact is modest.		It is not clear how the proposed project will further the field.

Budget	Budget is comprehensive, clearly explained, and appropriate for the activities proposed. All costs are justified and describe how funds will improve dissertation.		Budget and justification are adequate though the need for greater clarity is apparent.		Budget and justification are either omitted or vaguely described.
CV	CV demonstrates outstanding potential				CV is omitted or does not support applicant's potential
Letter of Recommendation	Letter of recommendation is exceptional				Letter of recommendation is poor

Total Score: _____

Overall, would you recommend this proposal for funding? _____Yes _____No

Comments: _____

